UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,625	04/08/2004	Martin Woltereck	DP-311136	8490
7590 01/29/2007  Delphi Technologies, Inc.  Legal Staff - M/C 480-410-202  P.O. Box 5052  Troy, MI 48007-5052			EXAMINER	
			DESCHERE, ANDREW M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1107, 111 1000	, 3032		2836	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MO	NTHS	01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|--|

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/820,625	WOLTERECK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew M. Deschere	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> ·				
·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-17 and 19-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: MODULE AND SMART POWER SUPPLY FOR VEHICLE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM.

## Claim Objections

Claim 5 objected to because of the following informalities: the end of this claim states "the stand-alone operating system". To conform to the language of the other claims and prevent issues of antecedent basis, examiner suggests "the stand-alone operating mode". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 18 objected to because of the following informalities: in its current form, this claim is not patently distinguishable from claim 5. Examiner believes claim 18 was intended to depend from claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4, 6-17, and 19-25 allowed.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: in light of the special definitions for the control module and smart power supply, the prior art does not disclose the methods of cut-off and stand-alone operation as taught by the independent claims. The closest prior art, Mares (US 7,007,179), discloses load management in a vehicle. Load Management Modules may act in a stand-alone manner, but there is no suggestion that a data communication failure may bring about this state.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sakamoto (US 6,900,555) discloses a power supply system for a vehicle. Fendt (US 6,070,114) discloses data transmission to chained devices. Arinobu (US 5,225,994) discloses supervisory control for plural distribution devices.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: see above objections to the title and claims 5 and 18.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,625 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew M. Deschere whose telephone number is (571) 272-8391. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMD :

BRIAN SIRCUS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER CURP